## **ANSWER**

TO THE

## QUESTION,

Why are You a Christian?

By JOHN CLARKE,
MINISTER OF A CHURCH IN BOSTON.

FIFTH EDITION.

BOSTON:
PRINTED IN THE YEAR 1795.

LONDON: 1799.

Price 3d. or 16s. per Hundred.

Rev Bee 18. 1843
The left of lins &
Muls Blanke,
I Newton

1052

## ADVERTISEMENT

TO THE THIRD EDITION.

THE little piece now offered from the press here, was originally published at Boston in America. is the work of the minister of the first church in that town. The friends of Christianity are much obliged to a very worthy gentleman for republishing it in London, at the beginning of the prefent year. It exhibits a summary of the arguments for the truth and divine authority of our religion, drawn up with peculiar vivacity and energy; and is in much request wherever it hath been read. To facilitate the purchase and extend the circulation of it, this impression of it is laid before the public; and has been encouraged by some who, justly, think it their duty and happiness to submit the evidences of their faith to a candid examination, and to promote a rational conviction of the truth of that religion, which is the most perfect RULE of moral conduct. the fource of the richelt CONSOLATIONS, and the ground of the noblest HOPE.

JOSHUA TOULMIN.

Taunton, 11th October 1796.

### ADVERTISEMENT

pof

hea

the tell Fo

pui

aut

dif

ics

be

it :

int

m

fig

fu

I

be

10

m

TO THE SECOND EDITION.

#### READER,

IF you are a believer in the Christianity, in which all Christians agree, however divided on other points, this short American defence of it, presents you with a feries of arguments in its behalf, which will fatisfy you that you have made a wife choice. If, on the other hand, you reject, or are indifferent about it, an attentive perusal may cause some hesttation, whether you have sufficiently weighed a matter of such importance. Not that you will meet with any thing that has not been observed before by our best writers, particularly some now living. But its merit confifts, in the collection and arrangement of the materials for forming a just judgment, in compressing them into 'so small a compals, and propoling them in a manner fo lively and familiar, as to invite to more ferious reflection than might have been before bestowed upon them.

Should there be noted any flaw or mistake, in any single circumstance, or part of the argument, this will not invalidate the rest. The force of the demonstration of the truth of the gospel here exhibited, lies in the amount and general consistency of the whole of the evidence produced for it. And how, fairly and candidly, to dispose of and to account for that mass of evidence, as here briefly sketched out, without lending credit to it, is not easy to see: it certainly never yet has been done.

There is fomething very fatisfactory, and not common, in what the writer advances concerning

V

the miracles of Christ; and in his resuting and exposing the inconsistency of those whom one often hears declaring, that "they are Christians, all but the miracles;" who, not being able to resuse their testimony to the superior moral character of the Founder of our religion, and to the lessons of the purest virtue delivered by him, "profess, as our author speaks, to believe the history of our Lord's discourses, but reject that of his miracles."

It is indeed this impregnable fort of the gospel, the miraculous interposition of the Divine Being in its favour, which is the mark at which modern unbelievers aim, and bend all their forces to undermine

it: but in vain.

nich

ther

ents

ice.

ent

efi-

nat-

reet

fore

ing.

ge-

ent,

iar,

ght

ny

his

de-

ed,

the

w.

for

ut,

it

ot

ng

he

Their objections to the credibility of fuch divine interpositions, from the fondness of mankind for the marvellous, and their liableness to be imposed on: their arguing, with little modesty, against the allwife and benevolent Creator, making use of such a precarious and doubtful proof of his will and defigns; and maintaining, that the laws of nature, which are his laws, being convincing, clear, and immutable, are sufficient indications of his will, and make all other revelation of it unnecessary: these and many fimilar objections, too often proceeding from an extreme fcepticism, or the imaginations of a prefumptuous philosophy, which tends to exclude the Deity from the world he has made, all vanish away before the plain common fense and reason of men; which, although frequently deceived, has led them to difcern, and give credit to extraordinary and\* miraculous facts, as to the most ordinary ones in their

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Priestley has shewn the weakness and fallacy of various objections to this great proof of Divine Revelation,

their daily transactions; when properly attested, when the evidence for them has been sufficient, and not loaded with any natural impossibilities and contradictions. But let me not detain you any longer from the pleasure and profit of inspecting this valuable little tract yourself.

T. L.

ar

in his Answer to Mr. Paine's Age of Reason, which is a full consutation of that popular writer's attack upon Christianity, so far as it goes. It is a pleasure to find that there is already a demand here for a second impression of this work, which has passed through several editions in America.

# "Why are You a Christian?"

tested,

d conlonger s valu-

T. L.

ich is a

Chrif.

t there

of this

Ame-

OT because I was born in a Christian country. and educated in Christian principles; not because I find the illustrious BACON, BOYLE, LOCKE, CLARKE, and NEWTON, among the professors and defenders of Christianity; nor merely because the fystem itself is so admirably calculated to mend and exalt human nature; but because the evidence accompanying the gospel has convinced me of its The fecondary causes, assigned by unbelievers, do not, in my judgment, account for the rile, progress, and early triumphs of the Christian religion. Upon the principles of scepticism, I perceive an effect without an adequate cause. I therefore fland acquitted to my own reason, though I continue to believe and profess the religion of Jesus Christ. Arguing from effects to causes, I think, I have philosophy on my fide. And reduced to a choice of difficulties, I encounter not fo many, in admitting the miracles ascribed to the Saviour, as in the arbitrary suppositions and conjectures of his enemies.

That there once existed such a person as Jesus Christ; that he appeared in Judea in the reign of Tiberius; that he taught a system of morals superior to any inculcated in the Jewish schools; that he was crucified at Jerusalem; and that Pontius Pilate was the Roman governor, by whose sentence he was condemned and executed, are sacts which no one can reasonably call in question. The most inveterate deists admit them without difficulty.

And, indeed, to dispute these facts would be giving the lie to all hillory. As well might we deny the existence of Cicero, as that of a person by the name of Jesus Christ. And with equal propriety might we call in question the orations of the former, as the discourses of the latter. We are morally certain that the one entertained the Romans with his eloquence; and that the other enlightened the Jews with his wildom. But it is unnecessary to labour these points, because they are generally conceded. They, who affect to despise the Evangelists and Apostles, profess to reverence Tacitus, Suetonius, and Pliny. And these eminent Romans bear teltimony to feveral particulars, which relate to the person of Jesus Christ, his influence as the founder of a fect, and his crucifixion. From a deference to human authority, all therefore, acknowledge, that the Christian religion derived its name from Jesus Christ. And many among the Deifts are so just to its merits, as to admit, that he taught better than Confucius; and practifed better than Socrates or Plato.

But, I confess, my creed embraces many more articles. I believe, that Jesus Christ, was not only a teacher of virtue, but that he had a special commission to teach. I believe, that his doctrines are not the work of human reason, but divine communications to mankind. I believe, that he was authorized by God to proclaim forgiveness to the penitent; and to reveal a state of immortal glory and blessedness to those who fear God, and work righteousness. I believe, in short, the whole evangelic history, and of consequence, the divine original of Christianity, and the facred authority of the gospel. Others may reject these things as the sictions of human art or policy; but I assent to them, from a full conviction of their truth. The grounds of this

con-

might er, as y certh his e Jews

giving

y the

name

labour ceded. ts and tonius, ar tel-

to the ounder nce to nat the

Christ. merits, ucius:

ore aronly a mission not the cations zed by

; and Tedness nefs. I.

con-

conviction, I shall affigh in the course of this work. And I shall undertake to show, why the objections of infidelity, though they have often shocked my feelings, have never yet shaken my faith.

To come then to the question: WHY ARE YOU CHRISTIAN? I answer, because the Christian religion carries with it internal marks of its truth: because not only without the aid, but in opposition to the civil authority, in opposition to the wit, the argument, and violence of its enemies, it made its way, and gained an establishment in the world: because it exhibits the accomplishment of some prophecies, and prefents others which have been fince fulfilled; and because its author displayed an example, and performed works, which befpeak, not merely a superior, but a divine character. Upon these several facts, I ground my belief as a Christian. And, till the evidence on which they reft, can be invalidated by counter evidence, I must retain my principles, and my profession.

#### SECTION I.

The Internal Evidence of Christianity.

First; I am a Christian, because the intrinsic exrellency of Christianity points it out as a system worthy of my belief; because the laws which it prescribes, the spirit which it breathes, and the distinity, coveries which it makes, are so admirably suited to the constitution and circumstances of man, that I tannot reject it. The preceptive part of Christinity has been very generally approved. And now is it possible, that any one should seriously object

the

pre

bar

the

vir

ria

fer

cife

for ful

adı

In

an

fin

ties

rea

var

the

COT

Jel

the

for

ren

foc

it 1

fyf

fo

reg

WO

Wa

WO

tyr

eve

ject to laws, which tend to correct the errors, and reform the vices of human nature; and to exalt the character of man to the highest stage of moral per. fection? If Christianity prescribed the austerities of the monk, the folitude of the hermit, or the wanderings of the pilgrim; if it even gave countenance to fuch extravagancies, or allowed them the lowest degree of merit, I should esteem it a formidable objection to the fystem. But nothing of this defcription can be found in the writings of the Evangclifts or Apostles. Those writings pour contempt upon all superstitious practices; and lead us to afcribe no value to any works, but those of true piety They teach us to worship God in and virtue. spirit and in truth; to love him supremely; to be grateful for his favours, and refigned to his difpensations; to trust in his mercy, and rejoice in They teach us to difmis all his government. anxious cares and apprehensions; and having employed the means, which infinite wisdom has appointed, to leave the event with infinite goodness. They teach us to love our neighbour as ourselves; to forgive him when he has injured us; to bear with his infirmities, and to excuse his follies; to weep with him in his diffreffes; when he is in want, to afford him our affiftance; and to do to him as we thould think it fit and reasonable that he should do to us. They teach us to love even our enemies, fo far at least as to abliain from revenge, and to render them offices of kinduels when their circumftances call for commiseration. They teach is to govern our appetites and passions, to be chaste, humble, temperate, pure, and as much as possible to be like our Father in heaven, whose character is an affemblage of every natural and moral perfection. They teach children to reverence and obey their

their parents; and parents to love, instruct, and provide for their children. They teach the hufhand conjugal fidelity and affection; and the wife the peculiar duties of her station, and the amiable virtues which adorn the fex, and blefs the marriage union. They teach mafters lenity, and the fervants faithfulness. They teach rulers to exercife their authority for the public good; and persons in private life, not to withhold honour and submission from those, under whose wife and just administration, they lead quiet and peaceable lives. In a word, the affluent and the poor, the prosperous and the afflicted, the aged and the young, may all find their duty in the facred books. And the duties, there enjoined, are such as the enlightened reason of every man must approve.

These sublime lessons of morality are sound in various parts of the New Testament. They enrich the divine fermon on the mount. And they are contained in the excellent parables delivered by Jesus Christ. I also find them in the discourses of the Apostles, and in their pastoral letters. I may say, wherever I open the Christian volume, I find some direction, which, if properly observed, would render me a good neighbour, a good member of society, a good friend, and a good man. And is it possible for me to doubt the divine original of a system, which surplishes such rules, and contemplates

lo glorious an object?

and

t the

per-

es of

wan-

ance

west

lable

s de-

van-

empt

o af-

piety

d in

to be

dif-

ce in

s all

em-

ap-

nels.

lves;

bear ; to

vant,

m as

pould

mies,

nd to

cir-

ch us

hafte.

ffible

ter is

erfec-

obey

If the prohibitions of Jesus Christ were universally regarded, and his laws obeyed, what bleshings would pour in on society? There would be no wars among the nations of the earth. There would be no oppression. There would be neither tyrants nor slaves. Every ruler would be just; every citizen would be honest; every parent would

B 2

he

he is

is irr

made

hum

more

exer

requ

refer

and

that

will

judg

tue :

ever

tion

rest

thei

enjo

in v

nec

trin

or r

nov

In

cre

fen!

one

an :

erc

rioi

wri

eva

gov

abe

lho

be faithful to his charge; every child would be dutiful; the pureft affection would recommend domestic life; and neighbours would be mutual bleffings. Under the dominion of Christianity, envy, pride, and jealousy would give way to the most enlarged benevolence; human nature would recover its dignity; and every man would read

the prefent reward of his own virtues.

From these facts, others may draw their own conclusions: my inference is, that Christianity is true, I do not believe, that such a system of morals can be the work of human wisdom. That these laws originated with God; and that Jesus Christ was commissioned to promulgate them, appears to mea much more rational supposition. The more I inspect them, the less am I inclined to compliment human ingenuity with fo glorious a production. If then, I continue to believe, in this age of refinement, and free enquiry, it is because I am unable to refift the evidence arising from the transcendent excellency of the Christian precepts. I think it infinitely more probable, that they should be a communication from God, than that philosophy should justly claim the honour of the invention.

The doctrines of the Christian religion furnih an additional argument in its favour. They are such as appear worthy of God, and answerable to the natural expectations of men. The perfections of the Deity, his agency in the creation and government of the world, the conditions of his approbation, the consequences, and a future state of existence, are points respecting which every reasonable being would wish for information. And it is a fact, that the New Testament throws divine light on all these articles. It informs us, that there is one God; that he is infinitely holy, wise, benevolent, and just; that

be

end

ual

ty,

the

uld

qas

on-

ue.

WS

was

ea

ect

en, ind

the

icy

ore

om

the

ilh

are

ons

rnba-

ice,

ing

hat

refe

hat

hat

he

he is self-existent and independent; that his power is irrefiftible, and his presence universal; that he made and upholds all worlds; that he created the human species, and every inferior being; that he is, moreover, their preserver and benefactor; that he exercises a moral government over man; that he requires obedience to his laws, and confequently, refents their infraction; that forgiveness is possible, and repentance and reformation the conditions; that death is not utter destruction; that all who die will live again; that all who are railed, will be judged; and that there is a future state, in which virtue will shine with unfading lustre, and receive an everlafting reward. These are not useless speculations, but doctrines of infinite moment. They interest as well the heart, as the understanding. And their influence extends both to our actions, and our enjoyments.

It would be easy to produce the various passages, in which these points are maintained. But it is unnecessary; as every one will allow them to be doctrines of Christianity. Whether the system be true or not, it certainly contains these articles. I would now put the question to every fober Theist, whether I must renounce either my understanding, or my creed? Is there any thing incredible in this reprefentation of God and man, of the demands of the one, and the destination of the other? Must I offer an affront to my reason, if I believe in one God exercifing the authority, and possessed of all the glonous attributes ascribed to him in the Christian writings? Does my understanding revolt at the evangelical account of his providence and moral government? That I should make it my study to obey him? when guilty of disobedience, that I should repent and reform? and that, as I behave,

fo I may expect to be treated? Is there any thing irrational in these doctrines? We read of a mediator, and a rich variety of bleffings dispensed through him; and is not this agreeable to the established constitution of things in the world?-Do not temporal mercies often flow to us through the mediation of others? And may not many instances be produced, in which the political redemp. tion of a nation has been accomplished by the labours, or purchased by the blood of some virtuous patriot? Is common sense insulted by the doctrine of a refurrection? This has been afferted: but with what reason, I never could conceive. When I examine the power and wisdom of God, they do not appear incompetent to fuch an effect. When I consider the divine goodness, I see nothing in the refurrection of man irreconcileable with that perfection. And when I reflect, that God formed the human body, and inspired the breath of life, I can eafily believe that he is able to raife us up at the last day. Before I can reject the refurrection of mankind, it must, therefore, be demonstrated, that the terms imply a contradiction.

As to a future state of retribution, I would ask, what presumption there is against it? We find, that we have already experienced great changes. Since our first introduction to this world, our active and intellectual powers have gained strength, as we have advanced towards maturity. And why may we not hereafter possess them in higher perfection? Why may we not move, not merely in a new, but in a nobler sphere? And as a moral government is evidently begun in this state, why may it not be completed in another? In these expectations I think we are supported by the analogy of nature. As we have already existed in different states, new

scenes

cene

beyo

anity

tant,

trine

fo go

them

that

I aff

of or

who

othe

wou

divir

Evi

this

belie

Chr

mol

And

time

Plill

of i

wit

tho

ing

ne-

fed

be

gh

n-

p-

he

us

ne

ut

en

ey

en

he

r-

he an he of

at

k,

d,

es. ve

ve

ly

at

19

e.

I

e.

W

es

scenes may be in reserve for us; and new capacities of action, enjoyment, and suffering, may await us beyond the grave.

Combining the doctrines and precepts of Christianity, I am led then to infer from them the truth of the fystem. Because the former are so important, and the latter so beneficial; because the doctrines of Christ tend to make us so wise, and his laws so good, I am, in a manner, compelled to receive them as divine. Such is their supreme excellence, that I must ascend to heaven for an adequate cause. I affent, therefore, most unseignedly to those words of our Saviour; "My doctrine is not mine, but his who sent me." And I do assert, were there no other evidence that our religion is from God, it would be more reasonable to admit its claims to a divine original than to reject them.

#### SECTION II.

Evidence arising from the early Triumphs of Christianity.

Bur my faith as a Christian does not rest on this single soundation. I have other reasons for believing the gospel. The early triumphs of Christianity surnish a Second, and in my view, a most weighty argument in support of my religion. And my conviction of its truth gains strength every time I examine its introduction, progress, and establishment in the world. Recurring to the period of its infancy, I find, that it made its way not only without the aid, but in opposition to the civil authority. I observe, that it rose superior to the wit,

tl

The

who

at hi

B

in o

neral

miffi

figur

then

are p

mora

publ

doct

in th

In

prof

ufed

am

the argument, and the violence of its enemies. ] perceive that it baffled the arts of the Jewish priests and rulers, and supported itself against the rage of the multitude. When Heathens became its enemies and perfecutors, I find their opposition as ineffectual as that of the Jews. Though it was the contempt and derifion of the more leading characters in fociety, yet I take notice, that it gained a wonderful appe ascendency over the human mind; and at length age became the religion of the Roman world. These quen are facts: and how am I to account for them, if relig

Christianity be a mere fable?

I can easily believe, that an imposture may succeed, if it have the public prejudices, the learning, wealth, and influence of the country, or the fword of the magistrate on its side. I never wondered in y that the attempts of Mahomet to establish his relithe Koran, and examine the materials of which it populate Koran, and examine the materials of which it populates the work at the work is composed; when I observe how much the work is indebted to the Jewish and Christian revelations; Jesu when I furvey the particular part, which Maho of the met, or his agents supplied; when I fee, with how much art the whole is accommodated to the opinions and habits of Jews, Christians, and Pagans; that when I consider what indulgencies it grants, and mast what future scenes it unfolds; when I advert to the peculiar circumstances of the times, when its and author formed the valt defign of affuming the royal and prophetic character; and more than all, when practice of a contemplate the reformer at the head of a contemplate. quering army, the Koran in one hand, and in the their other a fword, I cannot be furprifed at the civil and religious revolution which has immortalized excellent. his name. With his advantages, how could he fall been of fuccess? Every thing favoured the enterprise. The

s. I The nations beheld a military apostle. And they, who were unconvinced by his arguments, trembled priefts at his fword. age of

emies

ectual

tempt

in fo-

derful

ength

Thele

m, if

v fuc-

rning,

**fword** 

dered

s reli-

perule

ich it

work

e opi-

gans;

, and

But had Jefus Christ recourse to fuch measures. in order to establish his religion? Was he a general, or his apostles soldiers? In proof of his divine mission, did he affront the reason of mankind, by appealing to the fword? Did the learning of the age come to his affistance? Did genius and eloquence plead his cause? Were the principles of his religion fuch as would easily captivate persons of figure and fashion? Would wealth be partial to them? It is granted that the laws of Christianity are perfectly accommodated to the reasonable and moral nature of man; but, did the habits of the age. in which they were promulgated, predifpose the public mind to receive those laws? and, were the doctrines of the gospel consonant to prevailing and popular opinions? There is not a man who has examined the life, the actions, and the religion of Maho. of that time, who will answer one of these queries in the affirmative. Jesus Christ, and who is conversant with the history

In the whole compass of history, no fact is better established than the pacific character of our great master, and the inoffensive measures by which he profecuted his cause. He proclaimed the truths, and inculcated the duties of his religion; but he royal used no violence to make men believe the one, or when practife the other. He addressed himself to the con-teason of mankind; and then left them to make up their own judgment. At length he suffered; and civil his cause devolved upon certain persons who had all, alized excepting Paul, attended upon his ministry, and he fall been witnesses of his actions. These persons, called apossles, went forth into the world; and taught the The same truths which they had learned from their

fuf

and

Ta

¥01

fin

eve

rec

pel

me

pro

ene

au

m

H

de

me

as

th

N

m

or

th

da

lig

n;

CO

m

ni

of

ni

For

master, and which he had sealed with his blood. In imitation of their great pattern, they likewise applied, not to the passions, but to the reason of the age. With the Jews they argued on their own principles; and, for the conviction of Gentiles, they appealed to facts. Not one of their enemies ever pretended, that more formidable weapons were employed by the apostles in the Christian cause. How then shall we account for their success? What induced several thousands of the Jewish nation to embrace Christianity? And why did such multitudes of the Gentile world forsake their superstitions,

and receive the religion of the gospel?

Was Christianity a popular system? None could be less fo. Did it open the way to a feat in the Sanhedrim, to the honours of the Priefthood, or to an office under the Roman government? I never heard the infinuation. Was it an introduction to wealth or power? It was the very reverfe. Did it flatter any of the ruling passions of the human heart, or permit their gratification? Every one, who has examined it, knows the contrary. If then, as the terms are generally understood, it was neither honourable, profitable, nor popular; if it was the derision of philosophy, and the contempt of learn. ing; if the wit of the age was exerted against it; if the priesthood hated, and the magistrate perfecuted it, to what cause am I to ascribe the prevalence of Christianity? Under all these disadvantages, what enabled it to keep its ground? Upon one principle only can I account for this fact to my own fatisfaction, and that is, the truth of the fystem, and the patronage of Heaven. I can believe, that truth may triumph over the most formidable oppofition; and that God is able to defend his own cause.

In

p.

he

in-

ey

er

m-

W

11-

m-

c8

ns,

ild

he

or

er

to

it

rt,

as

he

10-

he

n.

t;

er-

a-

2-

ne

ny

m,

at

10-

NH

or

For every phenomenon in nature there must be a fufficient reason. This is a doctrine of philosophy; and not only fo, but a dictate of common fense. Taking this principle for granted, I therefore endeayour to account for the existence of Christianity. I find that the religion of Jesus is not coeval with many events preserved in history. By means of various records, which have escaped the ravages of time, I perceive, that less than eighteen centuries will carry me back to the age, in which this religion was first proposed to the world. By the confession of its enemies, it derived no support from the family, connexions, outward circumstances, or fate of its author. So far from it, all these things operated against it. Jesus Christ, though a very excellent, was in the estimation of the world a very obscure person. His family, though once exalted, had fallen into decay. And his fate was as infamous as it was un-His followers, likewife, and those with whom he left his cause, were generally as obscure They had not wealth, to give as their master, them importance. They were not men in power. Nor were their natural abilities, or literary attainments, fo great as to give them a decided superiority over their enemies. It is certain, therefore, that Christianity did not owe its success to any thing dazzling in the personal accomplishments or circumstances of its first preachers.

Where, then, shall I look for the cause? The religion of Christ did prevail; though to persons of figure and influence, its author was an object of contempt; and though his fate was that of the vilest malefactor. It did make its way; though its ministers were the farthest possible from that description of men, who take the lead in society; whose opinions it is the pride of others to adopt; and whose

C 2.

example

example it is their ambition to follow. It did fuceceed; though it bore an uniform testimony against all the impiety and immorality practifed in the world. Without flattering one disorderly passion of the human heart, without accommodating itself to one corrupt habit, it triumphed over the prejudices of multitudes. And whilst its profession was attended with every temporal discouragement, not only the provinces, but the very city of Rome, abounded with Christians! I ask the question once more, if Christianity be a fable, how am I to ac-

count for this revolution?

I well know the folution, which modern ingenuity has proposed. Gibbon's secondary causes I have repeatedly examined; I would hope with impartiality: I certainly have done it with attention. But they never gave me fatisfaction; and for a reason, which the great Sir Isaac Newton shall assign. He fays that a cause must be known to exist; and that it must be adequate to an effect, before it can be admitted into found philosophy; and before such eisect can with propriety be referred to it. But the causes affigned by those who reject the Christian religion, appear to want both these conditions, We have no proof that many of them ever existed; and, united, they feem utterly inadequate to explain the various appearances, and account for the phenomena to which they have been applied. therefore a Christian, because the rapid progress of Christianity, under all these disadvantages, will not fuffer me to reject it as a fable.

con

for

and

fev

Sa

WE

w

th

do

Je

go

W

fe

fh

fo

W

\*

inst the ion f to

ces-

atnot

ne,

ice

IC-

u-

ve

ti-

ut

n.

Ie

at

be

ch

ut

ſ-

ıs.

in

-9

m

of

ot

#### SECTION III.

Evidence arising from the completion of prophecy.

But though conclusive, yet these are not the only arguments which give authority to the gospel. The completion of prophecy furnishes a Third reason for that reverence which I feel for Christianity; and for my affent to it as a divine religion. In perusing the Jewish and Christian writings, I find several predictions. Some of these preceded the Saviour; and others were uttered by him. Some were accomplished in him; and others in events which took place after his appearing. Examples of each I shall first exhibit; and then shew, why

they determine me to be a Christian.

It was predicted, that the Messiah should come "before the fceptre departed from Judah." And does not history confirm this prediction? Did not Jesus Christ appear, and suffer, before the Jewish government was subverted by the Romans? It was predicted, that "he should come whilst the fecond temple was standing;" and that the house should derive glory from the occasional visits of sogreat a character. And was not this prophecy fulfilled ?- It was predicted, that he should come "in tour hundred and ninety years" from the time in which the city of the Jews should recover from the digrace, under which it had lain during the captivity; that he should "be cut off;" and that "Jerufalem and the temple should be afterwards made defolate." And did not these things happen inthe order and at the period here described? It

He

per

and

not

hig

wh

cip

CFI

tin

ea

ho

of

by

fe

th

re

29

1

ti

0

C

C

Holy

was predicted, that, in the age of the Messiah, many aftonishing works should be performed. And were not fuch works performed by Jefus Christ? At least, is it not an article in his history, that through his benevolent interpolition, and in confequence of his fupernatural powers, the blind received their fight, the lame walked, the deaf heard, the dumb spake, the fick recovered, and the dead revived? Finally, it was predicted, "that he should enter the holy city in triumph;" that his enemies should there conspire against him; that "he should be fold for thirty pieces of filver;" that he "fhould be fcourged," and treated with every species of contempt; that his perfecutors should " spit upon him;" that they should "pierce his hands and feet; that the spectators of his crucifixion should mock him; that "the foldiers should draw lots for his garment;" that he should be numbered with transgreffors; that "gall and vinegar" should be presented to him when in his last agonies; and that he should " make his grave with the rich." And, in the history of Christ, have we not the completion of these prophecies? Comparing the predictions and the events, can we deny that the latter are a perfect counterpart to the former?

But the person whose fate was so particularly foretold was, as we are informed by some of his first followers, whose tostimony is confirmed by their sufferings in his cause, and by their patience under them, was himself a prophet. On various occasions, he declared to his followers, that he should suffer a violent death. He predicted, that his own countrymen would condemn him; and the Gentiles execute the sentence. He foretold the cowardice of Peter, the treachery of Judas, the terror and flight of all his disciples, when he should be arrested; his resurrection from the grave, the effusion of the

any

vere

aft,

be-

fu-

the

the

, it

city

on-

for

be

on-

on

and

uld

for

ith

be

hat

in

ion

ons

e a

rlv

irft

uf-

der

ns,

ra

m-

re-

of

ht

d;

he

17.

Hely Spirit, the destruction of Jerusalem and the temple, with all the horrors attending it, the dispersion of the Jews, the persecutions of his followers, and the success of the gospel, notwithstanding the opposition which would be made by its enemies.

And, according to the records of that age, did not all these things come to pass? Have we not the highest evidence which history can afford, that Jesus, Christ both suffered and triumphed in the manner which he had before described? Were not his disciples hated of all men? Were not the most wanton cruelties exercised upon them? And did not the time come, when their extermination from the earth was contemplated as a facrifice, which the honour of God, the interests of truth, and the good of fociety required? Was not Jerusalem destroyed by the Romans? And as to the temple, did the refentment of the conquering army leave one stone of that magnificent building on another? Before their reduction, were not the fufferings of the Jews fuch as no other people had ever experienced? And after that event, were they not dispersed among all nations? Does not their dispersion still continue? And are they not, at this very moment, a standing proof of his veracity who predicted their ruin? When I compare the denunciation of Jefus Christ with the tate of the Jews, I am unable to account for their conformity, if I reject his divine inspiration. hillory of Josephus, who beheld the ruin of his country, comes in aid of the Evangelists. And I feel the same confidence, that Christ foretold, as that the historian related, this terrible event.

After a cool and impartial examination of these sacts, can it be strange that I should profess myself a Christian? How can I resist the evidence arising from the completion of prophecy? I find many predictions accomplished in Jesus Christ. And many,

which

which were uttered by him, I find incontestibly verified by succeeding events. Will it satisfy my reafon to infinuate that this may be the work of chance? Will it be sufficient to say, that the author of our religion, and certain persons who assumed the name of prophets, happened to guess right? To those who have any acquaintance with the doctrine of chances, this infinuation will appear both impertinent and absurd. That there could not have been such a series of fortunate guesses, is a point capable

mi

mt

uti

po

fio

di

C

of arithmetical demonstration.

The man, who can perfuade himself to admit this supposition, must with a very ill grace object to the miracles, wonders, and figns, ascribed to Jesus Christ. And of all persons, he ought to be the last to charge others with credulity. As to myfelf, I cannot believe that, some hundreds of years before the Saviour appeared, the peculiar circumflances of his life and death were gueffed by fome imposing diviner. I cannot be reconciled to the Supposition, that one by mere accident guessed that he would enter Jerusalem, riding on an als, and be there fold for thirty pieces of filver; another, that his enemies would pierce his hands and his feet, would mock his agonies, and cast lots for his garment; a third, that he would be numbered with transgreffors, and be laid in the tomb of a rich Such a wonderful refemblance of mere conjecture and fact would exceed any prodigy recorded in the facred volume.

And the same observation will apply to the predictions of Jesus Christ, whether they relate to his own sufferings, or those of his devoted country. It is impossible that he should have described them with so much precision, unless his mind had been divinely illuminated. The success of modern conjectures is well known. And if Jesus Christ be degraded Ve.

rea-

to

hor

med

To

rine

per-

een

able

mit ject to be

myears

um-

the

hat

be

that

cet,

gar-

vith

rich

on-

ded

pre-

his

try.

hem

een

con-

de-

aded

devotion

graded to the rank of those, who have been most expert at guessing, I must say, their talents will admit of no comparison with his. The art, if it was only an art, makes no figure at the present age. I must therefore conclude, that real predictions were uttered and accomplished. And I must draw from them the inference, that the system is divine, in support of which they have been urged. I have no other alternative, than either to admit this conclusion, or the most extravagant suppositions that ever disgraced the human mind.

#### SECTION IV.

Evidence arising from the character and miracles of Christ.

But I have a Fourth reason for my belief and principles as a Christian: and that is, that the author of my religion displayed an example; and performed works, which proclaim, not merely a supenor, but a divine character. No human language can do justice to the temper and morals of Jelus Christ. The excellency of the one, and the purity of the other, render him an object worthy of our highest admiration. In how wonderful a manner did he exemplify his own moral leffons? And how. divinely did he support his character as the friend of mankind? With what exquisite tenderness did he conduct himself towards the miserable? And what patience did he display, under every species of provocation? How condescending was he to the weak, how humble, how just, how ready to forgive his enemies, how benevolent to all? What a fublime

devotion possessed his heart? And in scenes of the deepest distress, how perfect was his resignation? How amiably did he converse? How unblameably did he live? How nobly did he die? And can I reconcile the appearance of such virtue with the mean and interested views of an ambitious impostor? Is it credible, that such pure streams should proceed

from a corrupt fountain?

Many, who reject the claims, and deny the miracles of Jesus Christ, admit the moral excellency of his character. A greater inconfistency cannot be conceived! What, is it no offence against the laws of morality to appeal to works never performed; and to pretend to the exercise of powers which never existed? Are deliberate falsehood, imposition, and hypocrify, to be erased from the catalogue of crimes? Is impiety no stain? And to die with an obstinate and inflexible adherence to false pretenfions, is there nothing immoral in fuch behaviour? I confess I have very different views of right and wrong. And I feel a strong conviction, that falsehood and deceit, for whatever purpose they may be employed, and to whatever end they may be directed, are to the last degree criminal and difgraceful.

Yet this accusation must be brought against Jesus Christ, if he did no miracles, and was only a self-commissioned reformer. He certainly did profess to work miracles; and he did appeal to them as divine attestations to his facred character. If he institled that he was sent of God to enlighten and save mankind, he was careful to add, "The works, which I do, they bear witness of me." I must, therefore, deny, that he was that excellent person, which some modern unbelievers profess to esteem him; or, I must admit the reality of those miracles, to which

he so often, and with so much solemnity, appealed. There is no other alternative. It cannot be, that he was a splendid pattern of pure and sublime morality; whilst his mission, and supernatural powers,

were an artful pretence.

the

n?

bly

re-

ean

Is

eed

mi-

ncy

be

s of

and

ver

and

of

an

ten-

ur?

and

alfe-

may

be dif-

efus.

felfofels

s di-

fave

rks, ere-

hich

or.

hich

he

Reduced, then, to the necessity either of admitting, together with the moral excellencies, the miracles of Jesus, or of rejecting both, I can without difficulty make up my judgment. However unphilosophical it may be thought, I am persuaded that he "did fuch works as no man could perform unless God were with him." Yes, notwithstanding the metaphylics of some, and the sneers of others, I do believe that he appealed to facts, when he faid, "The blind fee; the lame walk; the lepers are cleanfed; the deaf hear; and the dead are raised." God, who ordained the laws of nature, can certainly controul or suspend them. Nor is there any thing ablurd in the supposition, that occasions may offer on which fuch an application of almighty power may be worthy of God, and reflect honour on his wildom and benevolence.

It is true, such interruptions of the general course of nature are not visible at the present age. Our eyes have never been gratified with the fight of a miracle. But this is no proof, that the eyes of other men in other ages have imposed upon their understandings. The King of Siam, because he had never seen ice, denied the possibility of its existence. His narrow experience, under a burning sun, was opposed to the testimony of a credible witness. If this prince had been a metaphysician, with what a multiplicity of arguments would he have encountered and overwhelmed the European, who related the effects of cold upon the waters of his country? If he had been a philosopher, how learnedly would

D 2

Be.

he have reasoned upon the elementary particles of fluids; and from their spherical form, how easily would he have demonstrated the impossibility of congelation? But what is logic, when opposed to fact?

The miracles afcribed to Jesus Christ, and the apostles, rest upon the same foundation with other articles which we find in the narratives of his life. They have not come down to us through the channel of tradition; but by means of a formal record, made by persons, who declare themselves witnesses of the scenes which they describe. Nor are they introduced into these records merely by way of ornament; or to animate a dull narration: they are an effential part of the work. In the fame page, we find the miracles and moral leffons of Jesus Christ. In the same artless manner, they are both related. For which reason, I feel myself unable to draw the line where truth ends and fiction begins. All my information concerning Jesus Christ, is derived from the same source. Where tellimony is fo explicit and circumstantial, I must, therefore, admit the whole; or reject the whole. I mention this, because some have professed to believe the history of our Lord's discourses, whils they denied that of his miracles. But these articles are so connected, that there can be no discrimi-If an evangelist deserves credit, when he folemnly declares the things which he heard; why not when he as folemnly declares the facts which he faw? Why should I ascribe more veracity to his ears, than to his eyes?

That the miracles of Jesus stand as fairly recorded as his moral instructions, is not, however, my only reason for believing them. Certain events, which took place at the memorable period when

heie

a di

titu

mil

full

txe

wa

and

WC

CTI

mi

Bi

fal

an

th

18

to

pi

m

18

J

C

n

A

t

of filv

of

to

the

fe.

an-

Tes

lev

of

ey

me of

are

ın-

on

912

ft,

le.

16-

11E

les

11-

he

hy

ch

113

e-

ts,

en

::0

these miracles are faid to have been exhibited, are a demonstration of their reality. I find, that multitudes, who had the best means of informing their minds on this subject, and who could have detected the imposition it any had been practised, were fully perfuaded that supernatural power had been exercifed by Christ and his apollles. So strong was their conviction, that it overcame early habits; and induced them to embrace the religious system, which appealed to this evidence. Nor was this all: it overcame the apprehensions of contempt, of worldly loffes, of every species of injury, and of a cruel and infamous death. Upon the principle of miracles, it is easy to account for this magnanimity. But, if the Christian record of miracles be a mere fable, how came the conviction of their reality to take possession of so many fair and honest minds, and to produce fuch aftonishing effects? Why did they believe, who were placed beyond the reach of imposition, and who could have no motive to affent to the powers, claimed by the founder, and fust preachers of the religion, but the certainty that they existed? I am free to confess that the faith of multitudes, fituated as they were, has great influence in confirming my own.

But, to pursue the argument: I believe the miracles recorded in the New Testament, because they were not called in question by early insidels. The Jews were compelled to own, that the powers occasionally exercised by Jesus Christ were supernatural. "This man doeth many miracles," was the confession even of the priests and pharisees. And the modern Jews do not pretend to deny, that the founder of the Christian sect performed many things, which no man could do unless he were affished by invisible agents. But, to avoid the con-

fiquences.

fuc

mi

Di

me

W

m

in

to

do

W

in

th

1

re b

tl

i

Ph

0

9

a

fuch

sequences of such a concession, they both ascribe his miracles to an infernal cause. Succeeding unbelievers were likewise as well convinced of this part of our Lord's history. Julian acknowledges, that Christ opened the eyes of the blind, restored limbs to the lame, and recovered demoniacs from their malady. But he intimates, that thefe are no very extraordinary feats. And Celfus, another violent enemy to Christianity, not prefuming to deny the mighty works of Jesus, endeavours to de. preciate them, by pretending that he learned magic in Egypt. Befides, it is well known, that because the miracles of Christ could not be denied, attempts were made to eclipse their glory. Apollonius Tyanæus was brought into public view by two unbelievers, as a person whose powers exceeded those of Jesus. The concessions of Julian and Celfus, and this attempt to fet up a rival to the Saviour, may be easily accounted for, if we admit that figns were displayed, and miracles performed by him. But if his supernatural powers were an artful pretence, why did not these adversaries publish the imposition? They did not want fagacity to detect any unfair dealing. And fuch a discovery would have given the triumph to their cause. That early unbelievers, and some of them persons of the most extensive information; that a Julian and a Celsus did not deny the miracles of Christ, is with me a very ftrong argument in favour of those miracles: and, combined with other evidence, this circumstance is sufficient for my conviction.

Finally, the lying wonders, and pretended miracles of impostors, are a proof that supernatural powers have been employed for religious purposes. This appears to be the just conclusion from these facts. Impostors would not have had recourse to

such arts, if they had not known the success of real

miracles.

escribe

ng unf this

edges,

ftored

from

are no

nother

ng to

to de.

magic

ecause

empts

lonius

v two

ceeded

d Celviour,

t figns

him.

al pre-

Ih the

detect

would

t early e most

Celfus

n me a

racles:

rcum-

d mi-

atural

rpoles.

thefe urfe to

fuch

Would counterfeits have found their way into circulation, if there never had been genuine coin? Did not the latter unquestionably suggest the former? We may be affured, that pretended miracles would never have enriched the legend of a faint, if real miracles had never attracted the attention of Supernatural powers have been feigned in latter times, because in the primitive ages such powers really existed. And lying wonders, at the tomb of the Abbé de Paris, came in aid of his doubtful reputation, because the tomb of Christ was the scene of wonders and figns, which gave immortal splendor to his character; and ensured

the final triumphs of his cause.

I have now affigned the various reasons, on which I ground my affent to the miracles, which stand recorded in the Christian volume. I believe them, because they rest on the same historic evidence with the moral instructions and common facts contained in that book. I believe them, because cotemporary and subsequent events were such as might have been expected, from the operation of miracles on the human mind. I believe them, because the early oppofers of Christianity did not call them in question. And I believe them, because their reality appears to me, to be a fair deduction from many unfuccessful attempts to imitate and to rival them. Thus convinced of the supernatural powers of Jesus Christ and the apostles, I am persuaded that they spake by authority; and consequently, that the religious system, which derives its name from the former, is not only superior to all others, but that it is DIVINE.

SECTION

#### SECTION V.

## Of the Oljections to Christianity.

WITH fuch force do thefe arguments operate on my understanding, that I feel an increasing confidence in my principles as a Christian. The more I examine the evidences of my religion, the more am I convinced that it will not be overthrown by the weapons usually employed against it. The foundation which supports it, is not to be weakened by the shafts of wit, or blown down by the breath of ridicule. I am fensible that there is no subject which may not be placed in a ludierous point of light; as there is no character which may not be vilified. Religion, patriotism, chastity, and almost every moral and focial virtue, have in their turn been so exposed as to invite contempt. Soame Jenyns has discharged all his wit upon the rights of men, and the leading principles of a free government. If ridicule were the test of truth, his book would be unanswerable. But though it abounds with wit, it contains not one argument. And for this reason, the cause of civil freedom has suffered no injury from fuch an affailant. Though republican principles be the butt of his ridicule, yet they command the highest respect, wherever they are feriously examined. And the same observation may be applied to the subject of religion. To overthrow the faith of one, who has studied its evidence, arguments must be employed, and not the falle colourings of wit. Facts must be fairly and clearly disproved. Otherwise, the Christian Will processay, they Man

will afha

of i we adm

wol

WOI

agai

aga tha and is a the the

pol I d
but
Bet
no

to I

fici fiti inf fyf will retain his reverence for religion; and though ashamed at the disingenuity of an opposer, he will

not be ashamed of the gospel.

But from the wit exerted upon Christianity, I proceed to more fober objections. And I must fay, that, however plausible they may seem at first, they do not by any means invalidate its evidence. Many of them are impertinent; because they are levelled, not against the Christian religion, but against its corruptions. And many more are sufficiently answered by an appeal to the constitution of nature, and the degree of evidence upon which we act in general concerns. Some objections, if admitted, would overthrow the credit of all history: and others, when purfued to their just confequences, would not only subvert the religion of Christ, but

would bury natural religion in its ruins.

In vain, then, are objections of this kind urged against Christianity. In vain am I reminded, that the gospel was first preached to the multitude; and not to the learned and wife. I know that there is as much fairness of mind in the former as in the latter; and in regard to matters of fact, that they are as competent judges. In vain am I called to reflect, that false pretences to inspiration, and lying wonders, have in all ages been employed for political purposes. The fact I do not dispute; but I deny the conclusion. Falsehoods are daily uttered; but does it follow that the truth is never spoken? Because many counterfeits are in circulation, is there no unadulterated coin? As I have before had occafion to observe, the various arts of religious impofition take their origin from real miracles and a real inspiration. In vain am I told that the Christian lystem is not universal, and of consequence cannot proceed proceed from the common parent of mankind. I know that reason is imparted in various degrees: that the means of improvement, civil liberty, and all the outward bleffings of life, are bestowed in different measures on different objects; and yet I am persuaded that they all come from God. In vain is my attention called to the angry disputes of Christians, respecting the doctrines of the gospel, I am convinced, that fuch is the weakness of the human mind, disputes may arise on any subject. I hear men difpute on the principles of government, the rights of citizens, and the nature and extent of civil liberty: and yet I doubt not that these rights, and this liberty, have a real foundation; and that the end of government is their feeurity. Why, then, fould the disputes of Christians discredit the gospel? In vain is my faith infulted with the mortifying infinuation, that professors do not exemplify the virtues of their religion; that their principles and practice are often at variance. I am sensible that Christians are rational agents; and that the influence of their religion is not compulfory, but moral. Why, then, should I be more surprised that the laws of the gospel should be occasionally difregarded, than that the dictates of conscience, or the laws written on the heart, should not always maintain their authority? In vain will any urge, to the prejudice of Christianity, the ambition of a priesthood; and the various steps by which the ministers of religion ascended from the condition of instructors, to that of oppressors. The gospel, I am certain, gives no countenance to fuch abuses. from it, spiritual pride, and spiritual tyranny, are objects of its execration. I might go on to enumerate other popular objections against the fystem;

d. I

nd all

diffe-

I am

vain

tes of ofpel.

of the

et. I

ment,

ent of

ights,

d that

then,

ofpel?

ng in-

e vir-

s and

e that

uence

moral,

e law

arded,

e laws

intain

e pre-

hood;

ers of

actors,

ertain,

So far

o enu-

yftem;

but

efus.

but he who has formed his ideas of Christianity from the writings of the apostles and evangelists, will be certain that its credit is not injured by them.

As there is not any subject which may not be turned into ridicule, neither is there any historical fact, against which many plausible objections may not be raifed. Confidering his power, influence, and popularity, the destruction of Cæsar, by the Roman fenators, may be opposed with great ingenuity; and many arguments may be brought to fix a suspicion on this part of ancient history. ecution of Charles the first, and the triumphs of Cromwell, are likewife articles which a logician might affail with many objections. And if a sceptic were fo disposed, how easily might he refute (as the term is sometimes understood) the American history of independence? He might contrast the naval and military strength, the riches and the population of Britain, with the poverty and weakness of the colonies: he might also expatiate on the different principles, habits, interfering interefts, and jealousies of the colonists; and subjoining the fears of some, and the strong attachment of others to their political parent, he might, from the whole, show the incredibility of our revolution. Still, the glorious fact is a refutation of fuch reasonings. And I mult observe, that, in regard to historical relations, the testimony of one credible witness will outweigh millions of fuch objections as a fruitful imagination may eafily invent.

This conviction never fails to accompany me, when I repair to the facred oracles. In the New Testament, I find a detail of instructions given, of wonders performed, and of futurities revealed. I am also struck with a particular account of the sufferings, death, resurrection, and ascension of

Jesus Christ. Other astonishing events are likewise as circumstantially related. And the history containing these things appears to be as fairly written: and to carry with it as substantial proofs of its authenticity, as any history which has gained credit in the world. Do any ask, why I believe the antiquity of the Christian records? I answer, for the fame reason that I believe the antiquity of Virgil's poems, Cæfar's commentaries, or Sallust's narrations: and that is, the concurring testimony of all intervening ages. Do any ask, why I believe that the feveral books were written by the persons whose names they bear? I answer, for the same reason that I believe the Georgics to be the production of Virgil; Jerusalem Delivered, that of Tasso; Paradife Loft, that of Milton; an Essay upon the Subject of Miracles, to be the work of Hume; and a Refutation of that Essay, the performance of Campbell. Do any enquire, whether the facred pages have not been greatly corrupted? I answer, they have not been greatly corrupted; as appears by a collation of the earliest manuscripts, and an appeal to the earliest versions and ancient fathers. So many corroborating circumstances plead in favour of the gospel, that I must either distrust all records, or continue to admit the authenticity of those which display the duty and hopes of a Christian.

To conclude; the religion of Jesus Christ does not decline a fair examination. It consents to meet opposition; but in the character of its opponent it requires certain qualifications which have not always appeared in the contest, It requires a large acquaintance with the system itself, an acquaintance formed, not through the medium of human creeds, but by a direct application to the evangelic records. And it requires an extensive knowledge of the per-

culiar

wife

con-

au-

redit

the

gil's

ons:

erve-

. the

hofe

that

1 of

Pa-

Sub-

nd a

mp-

ages

they

by a

peat

So

vour

ords.

hofe

does

neet

nent

not

arge

ance

but

rds.

iliar

n.

culiar language in which those records were originally composed, of the various readings grounded on different manuscripts, of Heathen and Jewish testimonies, the customs and moral state of those countries where Christianity was first published, of the concessions and objections of the earliest unbelievers, and of the general history of the church. Thus furnished, several have attacked this religion; but the contest has generally terminated in their conviction. I know many instances where men have opened the history of Christ with the distespect of unbelievers, and closed it with the reverence of Christians.

The prevailing fentiments of Americans will be naturally on the fide of that religion which has been the subject of this work. Its influence in the first settlement of the country, will not be soon effaced from their minds. Their political principles will inspire a reverence for a system which admits of no respect of persons; but enjoins the same duties on all; and opens to all the same prospects of glory, honour, and immortality. Its benevolent tendency, conspiring with its evidence, must ensure to it a fair examination. And those who thus examine, even if they remain unconvenced, will confent that others should cultivate its temper, and follow its rules. They will not be displeased at seeing the virtue of their neighbours directed and invigorated by Christian principles. And though they may not fee fit to adopt their language, yet they will impute no uncommon weakness, credulity, or fanaticism to those who say with the Apostle, "LORD, TO WHOM SHALL WE GO? THOU HAST THE WORDS OF ETERNAL LIFE."

FINIS.

THE reader of the foregoing Tract will not be displeased with the following brief account of the Author.

Extrast of a letter from the Rev. Mr. Freeman of Boston, New England, dated Feb. 2. 1799.

-" I forget whether or not I informed you in my last of the death of my valuable friend, Dr. Clarke. I passed a Saturday afternoon with him in great chearfulness, when he appeared in perfect health. The following day, whilst he was preaching, he was fuddenly feized with an apoplexy, and died before the next morning. A friendship commenced with him almost in infancy, had enabled me to know him intimately. He was a person of great industry, learning, delicacy of talte, and purity of character. His talents for conversation rendered him the delight of every company, and his obliging temper and goodness of heart in a peculiar manner endeared him to all his friends. As a preacher, he was affectionate and persualive; and to him might be applied those words of Moses, " that his speech distilled as the dew." By his death, this town, rational Chriftianity, and the cause of piety and virtue, have suffered a loss which will not soon be repaired. His correct fentiments of the gospel appear in the little work, which you have introduced into notice in England. All his preaching and conversation were of the same tenor. There was a time, when, by the open and bold declaration of his fentiments, he had rendered himself very unpopular: but his good fense, candour, and exemplary life, gradually overcame all opposition; and he lived long enough to fee himself the favourite of all parties, and even of those who differed the most from him in opinion."